

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,475	12/31/2003	Ralph L. Anderson	KCX-813 (19344)	3932
22827 75	90 06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
	, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/749,475	ANDERSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William P. Watkins III	1772			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication		correspondence address -			
Period fo	r Reply					
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- eply received by the Office later than three months after the main department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be tire 1.136(a). In no event, however, how	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	<u> March 2006</u> .				
• • =	•	his action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 48,50,52,53 and 55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-47,49,51,54,56 and 57</u> is/are reju	ected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers		·			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner .	•			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
- 12)∏ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All _b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applicat	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment		∧ □ ₩	(DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,475

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application on 13 March 2006 after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 January 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The rejection over Cabell et al. given in section 2 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 15 November 2005 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and arguments filed 17 January 2006.
- 3. The rejection over Gentile et al. in view of Cabell et al. given in section 3 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 15 November 2005 is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and arguments filed 17 January 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

4. The examiner notes that the withdrawal of claim 53 was maintained in section 1 of the detailed portion of the office action mailed 02 June 2005. The claim is currently withdrawn from consideration, which is contrary to the status identifier for the claim in the amendment filed 17 January 2006.

5. Claims 37-38, 41-46, 47, 49, 51, 54 and 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Reduced Poisson ratio" is a comparative term. It is unclear in the claim and unclear from the specification what the basis of comparison should be.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,475

Art Unit: 1772

7. Claims 47, 54 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chappell et al. (U.S. 6,027,483) in view of JP-A 10-134102 (Thomson translation).

Chappell et al. teach a web material, that has elastic behavior, which may be a nonwoven fibrous web, that has an embossed pattern that causes it to have a Poisson lateral contraction effect that is reduced from that of a web that has not been embossed. The contraction in the lateral direction may be less than .3 (col. 10, lines 40-65, col. 10, lines 40-65, col. 1, lines 10-30). JP-A 10-134102 teaches the formation of an elastic material with a negative or reduced Poisson ratio by bonding to the material a second material that is formed in lines that form a pattern of cells, with the cells having a constrained part between two expanded regions (sections 006 and 007 of the translation). The instant invention claims a nonwoven web with a pattern of cells with a constrained section bonded to the web so that the web has a reduced or negative Poisson ratio so that the width of the laminate has reduced contraction when the web is expanded in the longitudinal direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a bonded pattern of cells on the nonwoven web of Chappell et al. in order to have a reduced

Application/Control Number: 10/749,475

Art Unit: 1772

Poisson ratio without embossing because of the teachings of the JP-A 10-134102 reference.

8. Claims 1-46, 49, 51 and 56 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chappell et al. (U.S. 6,027,483) in view of JP-A 10-134102 (Thomson translation) as applied to claims 47, 54 and 57 above, and further in view of Cabell et al. (U.S. 6,458,447).

Cabell et al. teach making a nonwoven embossed elastic like disposable web out of pulp fibers (abstract, col. 1, liens 10-20). The instant invention claims a nonwoven web with a pattern of bonding with a reduced Poisson ratio made of pulp fiber. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to made the nonwoven web material of Chappell et al. in view of JP-A 10-134102 out of pulp fiber in order to have a low cost disposable web material because of the teachings of Cabell et al.

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47, 49, 51, 54, 56 and 57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1772

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Works De

WW/ww May 28, 2006

FILLIAM P. WATKINS III
PRIMARY EXAMINER